

A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE INFINITESIMAL CONFORMAL TRANSFORMATIONS ON TANGENT BUNDLES

A. HEYDARI* AND E. PEYGHAN

Communicated by Karsten Grove

ABSTRACT. Here, we present a new complete lift metric for which every infinitesimal fiber-preserving conformal transformation on the tangent bundle induces an infinitesimal projective transformation on the base manifold. Moreover, this correspondence gives rise to a homomorphism between Lie algebras. Also, we introduce an almost product structure on the tangent bundle and show that it is a product structure if and only if the corresponding Riemannian metric is of constant curvature.

1. Introduction

Let M be a Riemannian manifold, and ϕ be a transformation of M . Then, ϕ is called a projective transformation if it preserves the geodesics, where each geodesic should be confounded with a subset of M by neglecting its affine parameter. Furthermore, ϕ is called an affine transformation, if it preserves the Riemannian connection. We may also speak of local projective and affine transformation. Then, we remark that a (local) affine transformation may be characterized as a (local) projective transformation which preserves the affine parameter of geodesics.

MSC(2000): Primary: 53C99, 53A99; Secondary: 58A99

Keywords: Almost product structure, Complete lift metric, Conformal transformation

Received:15 November 2007, Accepted:3 May 2008

*Corresponding author

© 2008 Iranian Mathematical Society.

Let V be a vector field on M , and consider the local one-parameter group $\{\phi_t\}$ of the local transformations of M generated by V . Then, V is called an infinitesimal projective (respectively affine) transformation, if each ϕ_t is a local projective (respectively affine) transformation. By a complete infinitesimal projective transformation, we mean an infinitesimal projective transformation which generates a (global) one-parameter group of projective transformations.

Let TM be the tangent bundle of M , and ϕ be a transformation of TM . Then, ϕ is called a fibre-preserving transformation, if it takes fibres to fibres. Let X be a vector field on TM , and consider the local one-parameter group $\{\phi_t\}$ of the local transformations of TM generated by X . Then, X is called an infinitesimal fibre-preserving transformation on TM , if each ϕ_t is a local fibre-preserving transformation of TM . Clearly, an infinitesimal fiber-preserving transformation on TM induces an infinitesimal transformation in the base space M . Let \bar{g} be a (pseudo)-Riemannian metric of TM . An infinitesimal fiber-preserving transformation X on TM is said to be an infinitesimal fiber-preserving conformal transformation, if there exists a scalar $\bar{\rho}$ on TM such that $\mathcal{L}_X \bar{g} = 2\bar{\rho}\bar{g}$, where \mathcal{L}_X denotes the Lie derivation with respect to X .

Let P be an endomorphism of the tangent bundle TM satisfying $P^2 = I$, where $I = \text{identity}$. Then, P defines an almost product structure on M . If g is a metric on M such that $g(PX, PY) = g(X, Y)$ for arbitrary vector fields X and Y on M , then the triple (M, g, P) defines a (pseudo)-Riemannian almost product structure.

Here, we define a new kind of (pseudo)-Riemannian metric G on TM and introduce the natural almost product structure P on M . The main purpose is to investigate some relations between the Lie algebra of infinitesimal fiber-preserving conformal transformations of the tangent bundle TM and the Lie algebra of infinitesimal projective transformations of M .

Throughout the paper, everything is C^∞ , and Riemannian manifolds are connected with $\dim M > 1$. Also, we suppose $\widetilde{TM} = TM - \{0\}$.

2. Complete lift metric

Let (M, g) be an n -dimensional (pseudo)-Riemannian manifold and ∇ its Levi-Civita connection. In a local chart $(U, (x^i))$, we set $g_{ij} = g(\partial_i, \partial_j)$, where $\partial_i := \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}$ and we denote by Γ_{jk}^i the corresponding

Christoffel symbols. Let $(x^i, y^i) \equiv (x, y)$ be the local coordinates on the manifold TM projected on M by τ . The indices i, j, k, \dots are taken from 1 to n .

The functions $N_j^i(x, y) := \Gamma_{jk}^i(x)y^k$ are the local coefficients of a nonlinear connection, that is, the local vector fields $\delta_i = \partial_i - N_i^k(x, y)\partial_{\bar{k}}$, where $\partial_{\bar{k}} = \frac{\partial}{\partial y^k}$ spans a distribution on TM called horizontal, which is supplementary to the vertical distribution $u \rightarrow V_u TM = \ker(\tau_*)_u$, where $u \in TM$. Denote by $u \rightarrow H_u TM$ the horizontal distribution and let $\{\delta_i, \partial_{\bar{i}}\}$ be the basis adapted to the decomposition $T_u TM = H_u TM \oplus V_u TM$, where $u \in TM$. The dual basis of it is $\{dx^i, \delta y^i\}$ with $\delta y^i = dy^i + N_k^i(x, y)dx^k$.

We can easily prove the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. The Lie brackets satisfy the followings:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta_i, \delta_j] &= y^r K_{jir}{}^m \partial_{\bar{m}}, \\ [\delta_i, \partial_{\bar{j}}] &= \Gamma_{ji}{}^m \partial_{\bar{m}}, \\ [\partial_{\bar{i}}, \partial_{\bar{j}}] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $K_{jir}{}^m$ denotes the components of the curvature tensor of M .

The complete metric on TM is:

$$G_C = 2g_{ij}(x)dx^i \delta y^j.$$

If we define $g_{ij}(x)$ as the components $h_{ij}(x, y)$ of a generalized Lagrange metric([3]), then we get a complete metric,

$$G(x, y) = 2h_{ij}(x, y)dx^i \delta y^j.$$

In particular, $h_{ij}(x, y)$ could be a deformation of $g_{ij}(x)$, a case studied by Anastasiei in [2].

Here, we consider the metric G with $h_{ij}(x, y)$ to be the special deformation of $g_{ij}(x)$ of the form:

$$h_{ij}(x, y) = a(L^2)g_{ij}(x),$$

where $L^2 = g_{ij}(x)y^i y^j$, $y_i = g_{ij}(x)y^j$ and $a : \text{Im}(L^2) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^+ \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ with $a > 0$.

3. Almost product structures on TM

Let P be an endomorphism of the tangent bundle TM given in the adapted basis $\{\delta_i, \partial_{\bar{i}}\}$ by

$$P(\delta_i) = \alpha \partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad P(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = \beta \delta_i,$$

where α and β are functions on TM to be determined. Then, we have,

$$P^2(\delta_i) = \alpha\beta\delta_i, \quad P^2(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = \beta\alpha\partial_{\bar{i}},$$

i.e., the condition $P^2 = I$ leads to $\alpha\beta = 1$.

With the above condition, we conclude that $G(P(X), P(Y)) = G(X, Y)$. Then, the pair (G, P) is an almost product structure on TM .

Put

$$\alpha = \frac{1}{a}, \quad \beta = a.$$

Then, we have,

$$P(\delta_i) = \frac{1}{a}\partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad P(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = a\delta_i. \quad (3.1)$$

Substitution $a \rightarrow \frac{a}{L}$, then (1) is unified to:

$$P_{a,L}(\delta_i) = \frac{L}{a}\partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad P_{a,L}(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = \frac{a}{L}\delta_i. \quad (3.2)$$

The metric G takes the form,

$$G_{a,L}(x, y) = 2\frac{a}{L}g_{ij}(x)dx^i\delta y^j. \quad (3.3)$$

If $a = \frac{L}{\sqrt{1+L^2}}$, then the relations (3.2) and (3.3) turn to:

$$P_L(\delta_i) = \sqrt{1+L^2}\partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad P_L(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+L^2}}\delta_i, \quad (3.4)$$

$$G_L(x, y) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{1+L^2}}g_{ij}(x)dx^i\delta y^j. \quad (3.5)$$

If $a = c$, where c is a constant scalar, then (3.2) and (3.3) take the form,

$$P_{c,L}(\delta_i) = \frac{L}{c}\partial_{\bar{i}}, \quad P_{c,L}(\partial_{\bar{i}}) = \frac{c}{L}\delta_i, \quad (3.6)$$

$$G_{c,L}(x, y) = 2\frac{c}{L}g_{ij}(x)dx^i\delta y^j. \quad (3.7)$$

Here, we consider the almost product structures (G, P) , $(G_{a,L}, P_{a,L})$, (G_L, P_L) and $(G_{c,L}, P_{c,L})$.

In order to find conditions for the above almost product structures to be product structures, we have to put zero for the Nijenhuis tensor field of $P = P_a, P_{a,L}, P_L, P_{c,L}$,

$$N_P(X, Y) = [PX, PY] - P[PX, Y] - P[X, PY] + [X, Y], \quad X, Y \in \chi(M).$$

By a simple calculation, we have the following results.

Proposition 3.1. *In the adapted basis we have the unique decomposition,*

$$\begin{aligned} N_P(\delta_i, \delta_j) &= (N_P)_{ij}^s \delta_s + (N_P)_{ij}^{\bar{s}} \partial_{\bar{s}} \\ N_P(\delta_i, \partial_j) &= (N_P)_{ij}^s \delta_s + (N_P)_{ij}^{\bar{s}} \partial_{\bar{s}} \\ N_P(\partial_i, \partial_j) &= (N_P)_{ij}^s \delta_s + (N_P)_{ij}^{\bar{s}} \partial_{\bar{s}} \end{aligned}$$

where,

$$\begin{aligned} (N_P)_{ij}^{\bar{s}} &= y^a K_{jia}{}^s + \frac{2a'}{a^3} (y_j \delta_i^s - y_i \delta_j^s), \quad (N_P)_{ij}^s = 0 \\ (N_P)_{i\bar{j}}^s &= -a^2 \{y^a K_{jia}{}^s + \frac{2a'}{a^3} (y_j \delta_i^s - y_i \delta_j^s)\}, \quad (N_P)_{i\bar{j}}^{\bar{s}} = 0 \\ (N_P)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^{\bar{s}} &= a^2 \{y^a K_{jia}{}^s + \frac{2a'}{a^3} (y_j \delta_i^s - y_i \delta_j^s)\}, \quad (N_P)_{\bar{i}\bar{j}}^s = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.2. *P is a product structure on \widetilde{TM} if and only if we have,*

$$y^a K_{jia}{}^s = -\frac{2a'}{a^3} (y_i \delta_j^s - y_j \delta_i^s). \quad (3.8)$$

From (3.8) and $y_i = g_{ia} y^a$, we obtain following equation,

$$K_{jia}{}^s = -\frac{2a'}{a^3} (g_{ia} \delta_j^s - g_{ja} \delta_i^s). \quad (3.9)$$

From (3.9), we conclude following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let a be a function such that $a'(t) = \frac{1}{2}ka^3(t)$, where k is a constant. Then the almost product structure P is a product structure on \widetilde{TM} if and only if the Riemannian space (M, g) is of constant curvature $-k$.*

For example, if we suppose $a(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}}$, then we have $a'(t) = -\frac{1}{2}a^3(t)$. In this case, the value of k in Theorem 3.3 is equal to 1. Taking $N_{P_{a,L}} =$

0, we conclude:

$$K_{jia}{}^s = -\frac{2a'L^2 - a}{a^3}(g_{ia}\delta_j^s - g_{ja}\delta_i^s). \quad (3.10)$$

From (3.10), we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. *Let a be a function such that $\frac{2a'L^2 - a}{a^3} = k$, where k is a constant. Then, the almost product structure $P_{a,L}$ is a product structure on \widetilde{TM} if and only if the Riemannian space (M, g) is of constant curvature $-k$.*

Taking $N_{P_L} = 0$, we conclude:

$$K_{jia}{}^s = -(g_{ia}\delta_j^s - g_{ja}\delta_i^s). \quad (3.11)$$

From (3.11), we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.5. *The almost product structure P_L is a product structure on \widetilde{TM} if and only if the Riemannian space (M, g) is of constant curvature -1 .*

Taking $N_{P_{c,L}} = 0$, we conclude:

$$K_{jia}{}^s = -\frac{1}{c^2}(g_{ia}\delta_j^s - g_{ja}\delta_i^s). \quad (3.12)$$

From (3.12), we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. *The almost product structure $P_{c,L}$ is a product structure on \widetilde{TM} if and only if the Riemannian space (M, g) is of constant curvature $-\frac{1}{c^2}$.*

4. Infinitesimal Conformal Transformation

Here, we consider the infinitesimal conformal transformations of the tangent bundles over Riemannian manifolds. First of all, we recall that the vector field X on TM with components $(v^h, v^{\bar{h}})$ is a fiber-preserving vector field if and only if v^h are functions on M (see [5]).

Proposition 4.1. *Let X be a fiber-preserving vector field on TM . Then, the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_X \delta_h$, $\mathcal{L}_X \partial_{\bar{h}}$, $\mathcal{L}_X dx^h$ and $\mathcal{L}_X \delta y^h$ are given as follow:*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \mathcal{L}_X \delta_h &= -\partial_h v^a \delta_a + \{y^b v^c K_{hcb}{}^a - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bh}{}^a - \delta_h(v^{\bar{a}})\} \partial_{\bar{a}}, \\ (2) \mathcal{L}_X \partial_{\bar{h}} &= \{v^b \Gamma_{hb}{}^a - \partial_{\bar{h}}(v^{\bar{a}})\} \partial_{\bar{a}}, \\ (3) \mathcal{L}_X dx^h &= \partial_m v^h dx^m, \\ (4) \mathcal{L}_X \delta y^h &= -\{y^b v^c K_{mcb}{}^h - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bm}{}^h - \delta_m(v^{\bar{h}})\} dx^m \\ &\quad - \{v^b \Gamma_{mb}{}^h - \partial_{\bar{m}}(v^{\bar{h}})\} \delta y^m. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Proof of this Theorem is similar to proof of the Proposition 2.2 of Yamauchi [5]. \square

Proposition 4.2. *The Lie derivatives $\mathcal{L}_X G$ is in the following form:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X G &= -2a(L^2) g_{im} \{y^b v^c K_{jcb}{}^m - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bj}{}^m - \delta_j(v^{\bar{m}})\} dx^i dx^j \\ &\quad + 2a(L^2) \{2\bar{\varphi} g_{ij} + \mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} - g_{im} \nabla_j v^m + g_{im} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}})\} dx^i \delta y^j, \end{aligned}$$

where $\bar{\varphi} = v^{\bar{h}} y_h \frac{a'(L^2)}{a(L^2)}$.

Proof. From the definition of Lie derivative we have:

$$\mathcal{L}_X G = \mathcal{L}_X(a(L^2))(2g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j) + a(L^2) \mathcal{L}_X(2g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j). \quad (4.1)$$

By Proposition 4.1, we conclude the following result:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X(2g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j) &= -2g_{im} \{y^b v^c K_{jcb}{}^m - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bj}{}^m - \delta_j(v^{\bar{m}})\} dx^i dx^j \\ &\quad + 2\{\mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} - g_{im} \nabla_j v^m + g_{im} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}})\} dx^i \delta y^j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Also, it is obvious that:

$$\mathcal{L}_X(a(L^2)) = X(a(L^2)) = 2v^{\bar{h}} y_h a'(L^2). \quad (4.3)$$

Putting (4.3) and (4.2) in (4.1), we have the proof. \square

Let X be an infinitesimal fibre-preserving conformal transformation on TM with metric G . Then, there exists a scalar function $\bar{\rho}$ on TM such that

$$\mathcal{L}_X G = 2\bar{\rho} G.$$

From proposition 4.2, we have,

$$2\bar{\varphi} g_{ij} + \mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} - g_{im} \nabla_j v^m + g_{im} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}}) = 2\bar{\rho} g_{ij}, \quad (4.4)$$

and

$$g_{im}\{y^b v^c K_{jcb}{}^m - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bj}{}^m - \delta_j(v^{\bar{m}})\} + g_{jm}\{y^b v^c K_{icb}{}^m - v^{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{bi}{}^m - \delta_i(v^{\bar{m}})\} = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

The (4.4) can be written as:

$$\mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} - g_{im} \nabla_j v^m + g_{im} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}}) = 2(\bar{\rho} - \bar{\varphi})g_{ij}.$$

Put $\bar{\Omega} = \bar{\rho} - \bar{\varphi}$. Then, we conclude following relation:

$$\mathcal{L}_V g_{ij} - g_{im} \nabla_j v^m + g_{im} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}}) = 2\bar{\Omega}g_{ij}. \quad (4.6)$$

Proposition 4.3. *The scalar function $\bar{\Omega}$ on TM depends only on the variables (x^h) with respect to the induced coordinates (x^h, y^h) .*

Proof. Applying $\partial_{\bar{k}}$ to the both sides of the equation (4.6), then we have,

$$g_{im} \partial_{\bar{k}} \partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}}) = 2\partial_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\Omega})g_{ij}.$$

By interchanging j and k in the above equation, we get,

$$\partial_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\Omega})g_{ij} = \partial_{\bar{j}}(\bar{\Omega})g_{ik}.$$

It follows that

$$(n-1)\partial_{\bar{k}}(\bar{\Omega}) = 0.$$

This means that the scalar function $\bar{\Omega}$ on TM depends only on the variables (x^h) with respect to the induced coordinates (x^h, y^h) . \square

Thus, we can regard $\bar{\Omega}$ as a function on M . In the following, we write Ω instead of $\bar{\Omega}$.

Also, let X be an infinitesimal fibre-preserving conformal transformation on TM with metric $G_{a,L}$ and scalar function $\bar{\rho}_{a,L}$. Then, we have $\Omega_{a,L} = \bar{\rho}_{a,L} - \bar{\varphi}_{a,L}$, where,

$$\bar{\varphi}_{a,L} = v^{\bar{h}} y_h \left(\frac{L^2 a' - \frac{1}{2} a}{L^3} \right).$$

Similarly, for G_L we have $\Omega_L = \bar{\rho}_L - \bar{\varphi}_L$ with

$$\bar{\varphi}_L = -\frac{v^{\bar{h}} y_h}{2(1+L^2)\sqrt{1+L^2}},$$

and for $G_{c,L}$ we have $\Omega_{c,L} = \bar{\rho}_{c,L} - \bar{\varphi}_{c,L}$ with

$$\bar{\varphi}_{c,L} = -\frac{v^{\bar{h}} y_h}{2L^3}.$$

From (4.6) and proposition 4.3, $\partial_{\bar{j}}(v^{\bar{m}})$ depends only on the variables (x^h) , and thus we can put

$$v^{\bar{m}} = y^a A^m_a + B^m, \quad (4.7)$$

where A^m_a and B^m are certain functions depending only on the variable (x^h) . Furthermore, we can easily show that A^m_a and B^m are the components of a $(1, 1)$ tensor field and a contravariant vector field on M , respectively.

Substituting (4.7) into (4.5), we have,

$$\nabla_j B_i + \nabla_i B_j = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$v^a(K_{jahi} + K_{iahj}) - \nabla_j A_{ih} - \nabla_i A_{jh} = 0, \quad (4.9)$$

where $B_i = g_{im} B^m$ and $A_{ih} = g_{im} A^m_h$.

Proposition 4.4. *If we put*

$$B = B^b \partial_b,$$

then the vector field B on M is an infinitesimal isometry of M .

Proof. From equation (4.8) we have,

$$\mathcal{L}_B g_{ij} = \nabla_j B_i + \nabla_i B_j = 0.$$

This shows B is an infinitesimal isometry on M . \square

Proposition 4.5. *If we put*

$$V = v^h \partial_h,$$

then the vector field V on M is an infinitesimal projective transformation of M .

Proof. Substituting (4.7) into (4.6), it follows:

$$A_{ij} = 2\Omega g_{ij} - \nabla_i v_j. \quad (4.10)$$

Substituting (4.10) into (4.9), we obtain,

$$\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma_{ij}{}^h = \delta_i^h \Omega_j + \delta_j^h \Omega_i,$$

where $\Omega_i = \nabla_i \Omega$. This shows that V is an infinitesimal projective transformation on M .

Now, we consider the converse problem, that is, let M admits an infinitesimal projective transformation $V = v^h \partial_h$. Then, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 4.6. *The vector field X on TM defined by*

$$X = v^h \delta_h + y^r A_r^h \partial_{\bar{h}},$$

is an infinitesimal fibre-preserving conformal transformation on TM with metric G , where,

$$A_i^h = g^{hr} A_{ri}, \quad A_{ij} = \nabla_j v_i + 2\Omega g_{ij} - \mathcal{L}_V g_{ij}, \quad \Omega = \frac{1}{n+1} \nabla_r v^r,$$

$$\bar{\varphi} = \frac{a'(L^2)}{a(L^2)} y^r A_r^h y_h,$$

and $\bar{\rho} = \Omega + \bar{\varphi}$.

Proof. By proposition 4.2, it follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X G &= \mathcal{L}_X (2a(L^2) g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j) \\ &= 2X(a(L^2) g_{ij}) dx^i \delta y^j + 2a(L^2) g_{ij} (\mathcal{L}_X dx^i) \delta y^j \\ &\quad + 2a(L^2) g_{ij} dx^i (\mathcal{L}_X \delta y^j) \\ &= 4y^r A_r^h a'(L^2) y_h g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j + 4a(L^2) \Omega g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j \\ &\quad + 2a(L^2) y^r (v^b K_{bjri} + \nabla_j A_{ir}) dx^i dx^j \\ &= 4a(L^2) (y^r A_r^h \frac{a'(L^2)}{a(L^2)} y_h + \Omega) g_{ij} dx^i \delta y^j \\ &\quad + 2a(L^2) y^r (v^b K_{bjri} + \nabla_j A_{ir}) dx^i dx^j. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (4.10), we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_j A_{ir} &= g_{im} \nabla_j \nabla_r v^m + 2\Omega_j g_{ir} - (\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma_{ji}^m) g_{mr} - (\mathcal{L}_V \Gamma_{jr}^m) g_{im} \\ &= -v^b K_{bjri} + \Omega_j g_{ir} - \Omega_i g_{jr}, \end{aligned}$$

from which we obtain,

$$\mathcal{L}_X G = 2\bar{\rho} G.$$

Hence, X is an infinitesimal fibre-preserving conformal transformation on TM . \square

Proposition 4.6 holds for TM with metric $G_{a,L}$ if we have,

$$\bar{\varphi}_{a,L} = v^{\bar{h}} y_h \left(\frac{L^2 a' - \frac{1}{2} a}{L^3} \right).$$

Similarly, for G_L we have,

$$\bar{\varphi}_L = -\frac{v^{\bar{h}}y_{\bar{h}}}{2(1+L^2)\sqrt{1+L^2}},$$

and for $G_{c,L}$ we have,

$$\bar{\varphi}_{c,L} = -\frac{v^{\bar{h}}y_{\bar{h}}}{2L^3}.$$

Now, using propositions 4.3 to 4.6, we conclude the following theorem.

Theorem 4.7. *Let M be an n -dimensional Riemannian manifold, and TM be its tangent bundle with the metric G . Then, every infinitesimal fibre-preserving conformal transformation X on TM naturally induces an infinitesimal projective transformation V on M . Furthermore, the correspondence $X \longrightarrow V$ gives a homomorphism of the Lie algebra of infinitesimal fiber-preserving conformal transformations of TM onto the Lie algebra of infinitesimal projective transformations of M , and the kernel of this homomorphism is naturally homomorphic onto the Lie algebra of infinitesimal isometries of M .*

The above theorem holds for Pseudo-Riemannian metric $G_{a,L}$, G_L and $G_{c,L}$.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. L. Antonelli, R. S. Ingarden and M. Matsumoto, *The Theory of Sprays and Finsler Spaces with Application in Physics and Biology*, FTPH, Vol. 58, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [2] M. Anastasiei, Locally conformal Kähler structures on tangent manifold of a space form, *Libertas Math.* **19** (1999) 71-76.
- [3] R. Miron and M. Anastasiei, *The Geometry of Lagrange spaces: Theory and Applications*, Kluwer Acad. Publ. FTPH, No.59, 1994.
- [4] E. Peyghan, A. Razavi and A. Heydari, *Conformal vector fields on tangent bundle with a special lift Finsler metric*, Iranian Journal of Science and Technology, Trans. A, 2007, to appear.
- [5] K. Yamauchi, *On infinitesimal conformal transformations of the tangent bundles over Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Rep. Asahikawa. Med. Coll. Vol. 15, 1994.

Abbas Heydari

Department of Mathematics, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran.

Email: aheydari@modares.ac.ir

Esmail Peyghan

Faculty of Science, Department of Mathematics, Arak University, Arak, Iran.

Email: epeyghan@gmail.com